

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/308,408	06/28/1999	ANDREAS FELLINGER	FLA-0010	6097	
75	90 01/29/2002				
D. PETER HOCHBERG CO., L.P.A.			EXAMINER		
	940 EAST 6TH STREET-6TH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2294			LUONG, SHIAN TINH NHAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728		
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del></del>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/308,408	FELLINGER, ANDREAS			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shian T. Luong	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (' condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	<ol> <li>a timely filed amendment whi</li> </ol>	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);				
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •	•			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 16-21.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<del>.</del>			
10. Other:		Shian T. Luong Primary Examiner			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/308.408

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 16, lines 6, 7 and claim 18, lines 6-7 and new claim 22 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument concerning Applezweig has been considered. However, Applezweig clearly states that the envelopes 11 are formed of a transparent frangible material and nothing in the disclosure prevents one from applying pressure on the cover of the envelope to puncture therethrough. In addition, Appelzweig uses the same type of material as applicant. In addition, on column 9, lines 34-36, the reference even utilize a film of foil packaging which is commonly known in the packaging art as easily frangible by application of pressure on the cover thereof. With respect to Roulin et al, the push through feature is for a user to push through the cover or lid that is made out of aluminum foil with relatively little force for it to rupture. It is contrary to applicant's interpretation that the amount of finger pressure applied has to push through both sides of the envelope.